The WHO defines palliative care as ‘an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problem[s] associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering’.¹ The definition specifies that palliative carers attempt to achieve this outcome through ‘early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual’.¹ However, despite working with a definition that makes mention of the ‘spiritual’, despite the reports which state that many patients have spiritual needs,²–⁵ and despite evidence that attending to such spiritual needs directly benefits patients,⁶–⁸ many healthcare professionals would feel hard-pressed to describe their assessment and treatment of spiritual problems as ‘impeccable’.

The aspiration embodied by the WHO’s definition is contradicted by the evidence, since healthcare professionals report difficulty in addressing their patients’ spiritual issues.⁹ As one experienced British palliative nurse expresses it, ‘I … sometimes find it difficult to offer spiritual help and support to my patients and their families. I am not sure what my role is, and fear invading a private part of their lives. I hold back and offer only the rudiments of spiritual care. I am relieved when I am told that they are either non-practising or have their own minister or priest. I have also made the mistake of thinking that religion and spiritual care are one and the same … I understand that if my patients’ spiritual needs are not met, this can have an effect on their pain and suffering.’¹⁰

Rationale and process
Recognising this disjunction between understanding and practice, a Dutch delegate at the 2009 European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC) Congress in Vienna proposed a task force on spiritual care in palliative care (SCPC). On 15–17 October 2010, in the Netherlands, 13 palliative care professionals from various backgrounds and eight countries (Belgium, England, Georgia, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway and Switzerland) attended a conference supported by the EAPC and by Agora (the Dutch national centre for palliative care), and funded by a grant from the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport (see Box 1). Facilitated by Reverend Joep van de Geer, Dr Carlo Leget and Marijke Wulp, the conference was aimed at establishing a basis upon which a future Task Force on SCPC might develop within the EAPC.

Before the conference, delegates were asked to complete a questionnaire intended to...
clarify the status of SCPC in each delegate’s home country (see Box 2). The responses were circulated as preparatory reading.

The conference began with a review of the challenges to further developing SCPC. It became immediately apparent that the lack of an agreed definition was a difficulty that significantly affected all areas of discussion, including, for instance, competences, education, research and funding. Delegates also recognised that the specifics of any agreed definition would have political implications; in particular, its power to legitimise which forms of care may appropriately be regarded as ‘spiritual’. Consequently, after careful consideration of how SCPC could be conceptualised, delegates began work in two subgroups: one set to work on defining spiritual care, while the other began to formulate a mission statement for the proposed Task Force.

Towards a European-appropriate definition of spirituality

Europe is a diverse continent; accordingly, spiritual care therein manifests itself in many different forms. From the Orthodox east to the Roman Catholic south and across the increasingly secular north, regional patterns of religiosity are shaped according to the particularities of history and geography. For this reason, and despite the excellent work currently emerging in the USA from the National Consensus Project for Quality Palliative Care, the conference thought it was important to begin to clarify a European-appropriate understanding of SCPC.

Notwithstanding the obvious cultural and historical differences, delegates considered the North American ‘consensus definition of spirituality’ to be an important development.

The countries: background information and perception of the field and its problems

- What does the spiritual/religious map of your country look like, and what specific cultural particularities should be taken to heart with regard to spiritual care in palliative care (SCPC)?
- What are the main problems and obstacles for further development of SCPC in your country?
- How is SCPC framed in your country in terms of its definition and leading concepts, and what problems can be seen on this level?
- Have any instruments been developed for SCPC in your country?

Organising palliative care

- How is the interdisciplinary collaboration of professionals in SCPC in your country?
- Are there forms of national communication or cooperation within your country in the field of SCPC?

Science and research

- What research is done in your country on SCPC?
- What disciplines are involved? What strengths and weaknesses are to be reported?

The road to Lisbon: plans and commitments

- What are the most urgent needs for the further development of SCPC?
- How can an international SCPC Task Force help, and what contributions are we willing to make?

It reads: ‘Spirituality is the aspect of humanity that refers to the way individuals seek and express meaning and purpose and the way they experience their connectedness to the moment, to self, to others, to nature, and to the significant or sacred’. 

Box 1. The delegates at the October 2010 conference in the Netherlands

- Belgium: Katrien Cornette, Mieke Vermanderen
- England: Steve Nolan, Philip Saltmarsh
- Germany: Traugott Roser
- Georgia: Tamari Rukhadze
- Italy: Laura Campanello, Arnaldo Pangrazzi
- The Netherlands: Joep van de Geer, Carlo Leget, Marijke Wulp
- Norway: Ingebrigt Raen
- Switzerland: Urs Winter-Pfändler
**Adjustments to the North American consensus definition**

It was thought that this definition could form a foundation from which delegates could begin to formulate a new European understanding. However, delegates agreed that several phrases needed adjusting for the European context.

- The phrase ‘Spirituality is the aspect of humanity’ implies that spirituality is but one more component of being human, rather than that which infuses every aspect of human experience – the ‘more’ in Aristotle’s holism, where ‘the whole is more than the sum of its parts’.13
- The phrase ‘the way individuals seek’ reinforces an overly individualistic approach to human spirituality, and glosses over the fact that the individual spirit is born into, and thrives within, a community.
- The phrase ‘seek and express meaning and purpose’ seems to limit spirituality to a concern with meaning-making, and leaves it open to being understood as a purely conscious process, whereas much meaning-making is unconscious.

Delegates were also keen to acknowledge the importance of including transcendence in any definition of spirituality, on the understanding that transcendence, while still a disputed technical term, conveys the sense that human beings experience themselves as more than just physical beings. The term is open to being interpreted as psychological transcendence, and/or as implying transcendence in a more traditionally religious sense.

**Proposed European definition**

This is the definition of spirituality proposed by delegates: ‘Spirituality is the dynamic dimension of human life that relates to the way persons (individual and community) experience, express and/or seek meaning, purpose and transcendence, and the way they connect to the moment, to self, to others, to nature, to the significant and/or the sacred.’

**Comments**

Delegates thought it was important to stress that ‘spirituality’ is difficult to define because of its multidimensional nature, and that the spiritual field encompasses:

- Existential questions (concerning, for example, identity, meaning, suffering and death, guilt and shame, reconciliation and forgiveness, freedom and responsibility, hope and despair, love and joy)
- Value-based considerations and attitudes (that is, the things most important to each person, such as relations to oneself, family, friends, work, things, nature, art and culture, ethics and morals, and life itself)
- Religious considerations and foundations (faith, beliefs and practices, one’s relationship with God or the ultimate).

**Key areas of work and mission statement**

Delegates acknowledged that the diversity in the understanding and provision of SCPC across the countries represented is a strength, as it creates a common pool of rich resources from which practitioners can draw. However, delegates also recognised that diversity presents a challenge to the goal of developing a common aim that might address the various needs of EAPC members. It is important, therefore, that the Task Force sets clear and achievable aims that embrace diversity, but that will also focus on promoting SCPC, without being distracted by the enormous range of possibilities the area presents.

Delegates identified and prioritised four key areas of work.

- **Service improvement** – the primary objective of the Task Force will be to improve care for terminally ill patients by improving the delivery of spiritual care. In view of the difficult present financial climate, the delegates recognise that service improvement needs to be achieved through the critical review of current resources.
- **Strategic planning** – this is essential to ensure equity of care across organisations and countries, regardless of where the terminally ill person is cared for.

---

**Box 3. Proposed mission statement of the future European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC) Task Force on spiritual care in palliative care (SCPC)**

The WHO definition of palliative care includes taking care of the spiritual (care) needs of patients. It is essential that the spiritual (care) needs of patients, family and carers in all settings are adequately met. The mission of the Task Force is to encourage all members of the EAPC to support spiritual care in palliative care through:

- Recognition
- Research
- Education
- Implementation
- Funding/resources
Table 1. Impact–feasibility diagram with examples of objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Difficult to achieve</th>
<th>Moderately easy to achieve</th>
<th>Easy to achieve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High-impact</strong></td>
<td>For example, working at building a collaborative interdisciplinary network</td>
<td>For example, developing a core content for teaching spirituality to non-chaplaincy professionals</td>
<td>For example, exchanging training programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium-impact</strong></td>
<td>For example, activating national forums for chaplains and pastoral staff working in palliative care</td>
<td>For example, translating existing EU guidelines, standards and competence profiles for spiritual care in palliative care</td>
<td>For example, collecting narratives of spiritual care from different EU backgrounds, and publishing them for teaching and research purposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low-impact</strong></td>
<td>Under development</td>
<td>Under development</td>
<td>Under development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Research – this should demonstrate that the human and economic ‘added value’ of SCPC must underpin strategic planning, and must feed into training and professional development.
- Education – at all levels of an organisation, from volunteers and unqualified support staff to specialist spiritual care providers such as chaplains, education is key to improving spiritual care. From this, delegates proposed the mission statement reproduced in Box 3.

**Concrete objectives**

Delegates formulated a set of concrete objectives for developing SCPC in the future. Each delegate was invited to formulate three urgent objectives; all the objectives were then plotted on a diagram with the aim of organising them according to their impact and feasibility (see Table 1).

High-impact objectives that would be easy to achieve included:
- The exchange of successful training programmes

A domain on the EAPC website for the circulation of information, guidelines, standards, educational programmes and research projects

- A European manifesto promoting the inclusion of a spiritual caregiver in multidisciplinary palliative care teams

- The clarification of indicators for SCPC quality management

High-impact objectives that would be moderately easy or difficult to achieve focused on research, training and education (see Table 1). One of those objectives is the building of collaborative interdisciplinary networks that might foster greater understanding of each discipline’s contribution to the holistic care of patients.

**Launch at the Lisbon Congress**

It is planned for the EAPC Task Force on SCPC to be launched at the 12th EAPC Congress in Lisbon on 18–22 May 2011. Those interested in taking part in the Task Force are invited to contact the authors of this paper.

To contact the authors of this paper, please email:
Steve Nolan (chaplain@pah.org.uk), Philip Saltmarsh (Philip.Saltmarsh@rlbuht.nhs.uk) or Carlo Leget (c.j.w.leget@uvt.nl)
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